UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

	٧.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Rhea Walker	Case Number: 19-9130MJ	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. tablished: <i>(Check one or both, as applicable.)</i>	
\boxtimes	by clear and convincing evidence t	clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require	
	the detention of the defendant per	nding trial in this case.	
\boxtimes	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and require the detention		
	of the defendant pending trial in the	is case.	
PART I FINDINGS OF FACT			
\boxtimes	(1) There is probable cau	use to believe that the defendant has committed	
	⊠ an offense for which a materised in 21 U.S.C. §§ seq.	ximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et	
	☐ an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. maximum term of imprisonme	§§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b). § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a nt of ten years or more is prescribed.	
	thát no condition or com	ot rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 bination of conditions will reasonably assure the as required and the safety of the community.	
Alternative Findings			
\boxtimes	(1) There is a serious combination of conditions w as required.	risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or ill reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant	
\boxtimes	(2) No condition or combof others and the communit	ination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety y.	
	(3) There is a serious risl justice; or threaten, injure, o	k that the defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct or intimidate a prospective witness or juror.	

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

(Check one or both, as applicable.)

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing |X|(1) establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that: Defendant's pattern of non-compliance on supervision and Defendant's conduct whereby she escalates conflict she has had with authority figures and others during interactions. The Court incorporates by reference the information in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter. I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: \boxtimes The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. П The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. There is a history of non-compliance on supervision. \boxtimes The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of . The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: |X|In addition: The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant

shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 29th day of April, 2019.

Honorable Deborah M. Fine United States Magistrate Judge